

Clinical Evidence for the use of Carbon Fiber Prostheses for Running

Overview

- The introduction of the carbon fiber flexible foot allows for the storage and release of mechanical energy, which previously was incapable with the use of a “SACH” type foot.¹
- The evolution and use of the carbon fiber prosthesis has dramatically changed the capabilities of runners/sprinters, enabling amputees to achieve similar levels of athletic performance to the able-bodied athlete.¹

Clinical Evidence

Power Output and Energy Return

- While carbon fiber prostheses exhibit improved energy efficiency compared to other prostheses, they do not come near the capabilities of the intact human foot.^{1,2}
- Sprint feet while demonstrating improvement upon other carbon fiber flex type prostheses, they cannot produce the same power or work as a human foot.^{2,3}

Energetics

- Energy cost increases with increasing amputation level and can be affected by prosthesis type during running.⁴
- Amputees running on carbon fiber running prostheses exhibit lower heart rates and lower VO₂ levels than running on a prosthetic foot not intended for running.¹

The Effect of Sprint Foot Shape and Stiffness

- Stiffness of the carbon fiber running prosthesis is associated with faster running speeds and increased running symmetry.¹
- Wider C-shaped curves for sprinting prostheses have been found to improve speed and symmetry.¹
- Varying degrees of stiffness and shape of the prosthetic foot can affect the speed and functionality of the runner.¹

The Effect of Alignment, Mass, Position of the Center of Mass, and Inertia

- Shifting the load line of the limb posteriorly increases plantar flexion and puts a greater load on the toe, improving symmetry.⁵
- The prosthesis is made lighter than the residual limb to try to reduce the high metabolic cost exhibited by amputees during activity, as a decrease in prosthetic mass decreases the demand on the muscles to move the leg during the swing phase.¹
- A running prosthesis needs to be lighter than the intact limb for an amputee to have similar energy costs as able-bodied persons.¹
- Adjustments in the center of mass and inertia have been to alter gait kinetics.^{6,7}

References

1. Nolan L. Carbon fibre prostheses and running in amputees: a review. *Foot and ankle surgery : official journal of the European Society of Foot and Ankle Surgeons*. 2008;14(3):125-129.
2. Czerniecki JM, Gitter A, Munro C. Joint moment and muscle power output characteristics of below knee amputees during running: the influence of energy storing prosthetic feet. *Journal of biomechanics*. 1991;24(1):63-75.
3. Buckley JG. Biomechanical adaptations of transtibial amputee sprinting in athletes using dedicated prostheses. *Clinical biomechanics (Bristol, Avon)*. Jun 2000;15(5):352-358.
4. Waters RL, Mulroy S. The energy expenditure of normal and pathologic gait. *Gait & posture*. Jul 1999;9(3):207-231.
5. Buckley JG. Sprint kinematics of athletes with lower-limb amputations. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. May 1999;80(5):501-508.
6. Selles RW, Bussmann JB, Klip LM, Speet B, Van Soest AJ, Stam HJ. Adaptations to mass perturbations in transtibial amputees: kinetic or kinematic invariance? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Dec 2004;85(12):2046-2052.
7. Selles RW, Korteland S, Van Soest AJ, Bussmann JB, Stam HJ. Lower-leg inertial properties in transtibial amputees and control subjects and their influence on the swing phase during gait. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Apr 2003;84(4):569-577.